MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Ikechukwu Obih J MD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-16-3388-01

MFDR Date Received

July 8, 2016

Respondent Name

Old Republic General Insurance Corp

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 44

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier has not paid this claim in accordance and compliance with TDI-DWC

Rule 133 and 134."

Amount in Dispute: \$409.51

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Based on Clinical Validation (CV) review of all receive documentation, Coventry stands behind our recommendation."

Response Submitted by: Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 20, 2016	95912, A4456	\$409.51	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 97 The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
 - 112 Service not furnished directly to the patient and/or not documented.

- W3 Request for reconsideration
- 193

Issues

- 1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The insurance carrier denied disputed service code 95912 – "Nerve conduction studies, 11-12- studies" with claim adjustment reason code 112 – "Service not furnished directly to the patient and/or not documented." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 (b) (1) states in pertinent part,

For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided

Review of the applicable Medicare policy found at www.cms.hhs.gov, finds;

Each descriptor (code) from codes 95907, 95908, 95909, 95910, 95911, 95912, and 95913 can be reimbursed **only once per nerve**, or named branch of a nerve, regardless of the number of sites tested or the number of methods used on that nerve. For instance, testing the ulnar nerve at wrist, forearm, below elbow, above elbow, axilla and supraclavicular regions will all be considered as a single nerve. Motor and sensory nerve testing are considered separate tests.

Review of the submitted "Electromyography (EMG) Report" pursuant to the above Medicare Policy finds a total of eight studies to be documented. Therefore the insurance carrier's denial reason is supported. Additional reimbursement cannot be recommended.

The insurance carrier denied disputed service code A4556 as 97 – "The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated." Review of Code A4556 status finds "P" or excluded as incident to a physician's service (not separately payable)." As this service is incident to the Needle EMG/Nerve Conduction Study, the carrier's denial is supported.

2. Pursuant to Division rules and fee guidelines, no additional payment is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

		August 10, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.